

## International Journal of Allied Practice, Research and Review Website: www.ijaprr.com (ISSN 2350-1294)

# Studies on Faunal Diversity of Macrobenthic Invertebrates in Two Different Fresh Water Ecosystems in Rajasthan

Abhaya Singh Tak<sup>1</sup>, Rajni Chandra<sup>2</sup>, Deepti Srivastava<sup>3</sup> Department of Zoology, Govt. Dungar College, Bikaner

Abstract - The bottom muds of the lakes appear to be similar but are habitats for high biodiversity. Physical, chemical, and biological processes create significant horizontal and vertical heterogeneities in the substrata that provide a physical template for distinct niches. The diversity of benthic fauna acts as sensitive indicators of lake health. The present communication deals with the year round study on diversity and population turnover of macrobenthic invertebrates and their ecological aspects in the two lakes of different climatic regions of Rajasthan namely Kolayat (Bikaner) and Pushkar (Ajmer) from July, 2012 to June, 2013.

Physical-chemical limnology revealed that the Sacred lakes were shallow with turbid, alkaline, hard, slightly saline and well oxygenated water. Besides the larval forms, the adult macrobenthic invertebrates displayed a diversity of 21 and 22 species belonging to Annelida (Class Oligochaeta and Hirudinea), Arthropoda (Class Insecta) and Mollusca (Class Gastropoda) in the lakes of Kolayat and Pushkar respectively. The data on population turnover and periodicity of occurrence is viewed upon to adjudge the sensitivity of species to environmental condition.

Keywords: Benthic macro invertebrates, Physical-chemical limnology, Diversity, Population turnover.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The benthic community is complex. It includes a wide range of organisms from bacteria to plants (phytobenthos) and animals (zoobenthos) and from the different levels of the food web. They are generally classified according to their size viz. microbenthos < 0.063 mm, meiobenthos 0.063-1.0 (or 0.5) mm, macrobenthos > 1.0 (or 0.5) mm and, sometimes, megabenthos > 10.0 mm (Tagliapietra and Sigovini, 2010). Macro benthic invertebrates form an integral part of aquatic environment and are of great ecological and economic importance as they maintain various levels of interaction between the community and the environment (Sharma and Chowdhary, 2014). Aquatic macro-invertebrates have been identified as excellent tool for bio-monitoring studies as they respond rapidly to the environmental changes. Some Benthic forms are often considered to be best indicators of organic pollution because of their constant present, relatively long life span, sedentary habits, and different tolerance to stress habitat (Webber et al., 1989) benthic population is an essential part of lake ecosystems, exerting a considerable impact upon their functioning. The diversity of benthic fauna acts as sensitive indicators of lake health. The benthic population consumes organic matter that sinks from

[IJAPRR International Peer Reviewed Refereed Journal, Vol II, Issue III, p.n. 62-69, 2015 Page 62

surface production. These benthic invertebrates become food for the other aquatic invertebrates and vertebrates, hence play a critical role in the natural flow of energy and nutrients in the ecosystem more over they play a vital role in purifying water bodies since they are saprophytic but some may be harmful as some gastropods are intermediate hosts of infectious trematodes and other parasites of animals and human beings. Biological monitoring is considered to provide an integrated approach to assess water and overall environmental quality (Hynes, 1960). Additionally, snails are ideal bio-indicators not only for paleoenvironments and water quality (Harman, 1974; Clarke, 1979), but for lotic and lentic aquatic ecosystems as well (Choubisa, 1992). Usually various physicochemical methods are used to detect the effect of pollution on the water quality changes. Such alterations in water quality are also very well reflected in the structure and composition of biotic community as shown by occurrence, diversity and abundance pattern of species (Kumar et al., 2006).

Physico-chemical parameters are directly affecting to diversity of benthic fauna of the water bodies. Anthropogenic pressures, holy rituals and tourism have adverse impact on the water quality of the sacred lakes. Water is the scarcest and the most stressed resource in the Great Indian Desert. The status of water in the state is very critical. Rajasthan with more than 10.5% of the country's geographical area, supporting more than 5.5% of the human population & 18.70% of the livestock has only 1.16% of the total surface water available in the country, yet it has diverge bodies of water, perennial and ephemeral, lotic and lentic. This region receives less than 300 mm of rain in an average year. Temperatures can exceed 48 °C in the summer months and drop below freezing in the winter. Despite ecological stresses the surface water bodies in far and wide stretches of barren lands of the Thar Desert harbour wide range of flora and fauna and thus need to be conserved.

The present communication deals with the year round study on diversity and population turnover of macrobenthic invertebrates and their ecological aspects in the two lakes of different climatic regions of Rajasthan namely Kolayat (Bikaner) and Pushkar (Ajmer) from July, 2012 to June, 2013. The data on population density are viewed upon to adjudge the sensitivity of species to environmental conditions.

### II. STUDY AREA

Kolayat (27° 50' 32" N, 72° 57' 10" E) lake is situated 51 Km on the south west of Bikaner. Kolayat is situated in the middle of the Thar Desert and is characterized by typical arid conditions. The lake has a catchment area (Agor) 14,900 ha. Pushkar is situated 14kms on the North west of Ajmer. The geographical coordinates are 26°30°0" North 74°33'0" East. The Pushkar lake draws catchment of the Aravalli hills covering an area of 22 Sq. Kilometers. The lake has water surface of 22 hectares. Pushkar experiences semi-arid climatic conditions.

#### **III. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Both water and sediment samples were collected from three study stations.

1. Water was examined for major ecological variables including temperature, pH, electrical conductance, total dissolved solids, dissolved gases (oxygen, carbon dioxide), alkalinity and hardness.

2. A quadrate was used to collect the samples of sandy sediment. The sediment samples were examined for pH, electrical conductance, total dissolved solids and organic matter. Benthic forms were collected by sieving the mud samples. The results are expressed in the No./ $m^2$ 

3. The analysis was made following APHA-AWWA-WPCF (1981). For parameters like temperature, pH, electrical conductance and total dissolved solids, respective meters were used.

4. Benthic fauna were identified following Daglish (1952), Borrer & Delong (1957), Baid (1958), Vazirani (1964), Edmondson(1966), Needham & Needham(1978), Tonapi (1980), Mc Cafferty (1981) and Subbarao (1989).

5. Population turnover was calculated as Maximum population / Minimum population recorded.

#### **IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

Physical-chemical limnology revealed that the lakes were shallow with turbid, alkaline, hard, slightly saline and well oxygenated water. No much difference was found in the physical-chemical parameters. The average values of important abiotic variables of water were observed as Temperature 26.3-26.18 °C, Transparency 0.47-0.49 m, pH 7.81 - 7.61, EC 0.39- 0.38 mmho/ cm, DO 9.95- 11.78 mg/l, Free CO<sub>2</sub> 35.00 - 24.83 mg/l, TDS 386.66-378.33 mg/l, Hardness 141.5-145.7 mg/l and Total Alkalinity 82.83-60.16 mg/l. Sediment analysis revealed the average values as pH 9.53– 9.48, EC 0.36 - 0.36 mmho/ cm, TDS 360 – 360.83 mg/g and Organic matter 51.71– 45.37 mg/g in the lakes of Kolayat and Pushkar (Table 1).

The benthic fauna displayed a diversity of 22 species belonging to Annelida (Class Oligochaeta and Hirudinea), Arthropoda (Class Insecta) and Mollusca (Class Gastropoda) (Table 2). Annelids were represented by two Olegochaets namely *Aeolosoma hemprichi, Tubifex tubifex* and two Hirudinian *Alboglossiphonia weberi* and *Hirudinaria globosa*. Considerable number of Annelids was recorded only when the water temperature was above the average value (>26°C). The pattern of the population turnover and the periodicity of occurrence were similar in both the lakes yet the average population density was high in Pushkar Lake.

During the present study insects were represented by adult as well as larval forms. The adult insect fauna belonged to two orders namely Coleoptera (beetles) and Hemiptera (bugs). Coleoptera was represented by 3 families namely Dytiscidae (3 species), Hydraenidae (1), Hydrophilidae (3). Hemiptera was also represented by 3 families Corixidae (1), Nepidae (2), Notonectidae (1). Apart from beetles and bugs, orders Diptera (*Chironmus* sp., *Culicoides* sp and *Tabanus* sp.), Ephemeroptera (Mayfly) Odonata (Dragonfly), Plecoptera (Stonefly) and Tricoptera (Caddisfly) were represented only by larval forms.

*Hydraticus fabricii* was the most abundant species followed by *Tropisternus lateralis* but numerically by *Hydraena quadricoliis* of which the average population density was good but the periodicity of occurrence were 4 and 6 months in the lakes of Kolayat and Pushkar respectively. The only perennial forms were larvae of *Chironomus* sp. and *Culicoides* sp. of order Diptera and Nymphs of Odonata while other species occurred only for 8 to 10 months in the year. *Hydaticus fabricii* presented the widest range of population fluctuation over the period of study as reflected from its greater population turnover followed by *Hydraena quadricollis*, and *Tropisternus lateralis*. However there was a significant difference between the population turnovers of these species in the lakes of two different climates. The rest of the species displayed a lesser population turnover suggesting narrow variation in their count during the period of study.

Over all the macrobenthic fauna was dominated by the gastropods but the composition of this component was different in the two lakes. *Bellamya bengalensis* (30% of the gastropods) dominated the gastropods in the lake of Kolayat followed by *Diagnostoma pulchella* (23%) and *Lymnaea acuminate* (23%) whereas the gastropods in the lake of Pushkar was dominated by *Lymnaea acuminate* (26%) followed by *Bellamya bengalensis* (24%) and *Diagnostoma pulchella* (16%). *Thiara tuberculata* was recorded exclusively in Pushkar. *Gyraulus rotula* displayed maximum population turnover with discontinuous presence in the lake of Kolayat

The greater population turnover of a species suggests the greater sensitivity of it to the available environmental conditions of existence. However, this should be viewed upon with the incorporation of data on the periodicity of occurrence of the individual species. Thus a species may, however be having a poor population turnover, if displays poor periodicity, is obviously highly sensitive to the available environmental conditions during most part of the year. This is important to

note that species in highly stressed condition such as desert (Kolayat) develop locally adapted population as also observed by Singh and Saxena (2002) and Singh et.al. (2006).

More over the persistent dominance of *Chironmus* sp.larvae may be used as pollution indicator in the lakes. Abundance of *Lymnaea acuminate* and regular presence of *Tubifex tubifex* in the lake are it's another indication. The availability and distribution of chironomids on intra lake level have been attributed to be relative to many factors (Bowman, 1976). Chironomus larvae have also been used as pollution indicators by number of workers Gaufin (1957) and Curry (1962). Thus, the abundance of chironomids in the benthic population is due to impact of altered nature of substrate due to organic pollution. The presence of *Tubifex tubifex* and *Lymnaea acuminate* in the lakes also corroborates with the work of Mason (1981) and Sarang & Sharma (2009). However, the physical-chemical parameters were well within the threshold limit in these water bodies.

Table 1.Physical-chemical variable2013 Values are averages of threeotherwise mentioned.	s at the lakes of Kolayat (Bikaner) and Pus study stations and are expressed in mg/l i	hkar (Ajmer) from July, 2012 to June, n water and mg/g in sediment, except
Variable	Kolayat Lake, Bikaner	Pushkar Lake, Ajmer

Variable		Kolayat La	ke, Bikaner		Pushkar Lake, Ajmer			
variable		Maximum	Minimum	Average	Maximum Minimum		Average	
	Temperature (°C)	33.5	16.6	26.3	33.7	17.8	26.18	
	Transparency (m)	0.5m	0.4m	0.47m	0.5	0.4	0.49	
	pН	8.3	7.2	7.81	8.0	7.3	7.61	
Water	EC (mmho/cm)	0.42	0.32	0.39	0.42	0.31	0.38	
	DO	14.63	6.91	9.95	19.10	8.53	11.78	
	Free CO <sub>2</sub>	56.00	2.0	35.00	48.0	2.0	24.83	
	TDS	420	320	386.66	420	310	378.33	
	Total Alkalinity	120	42	82.83	76	38	60.16	
	Hardness	204	90	141.5	184	110	145.7	
	pН	10.8	8.0	9.53	10.2	8.6	9.48	
Sadimont	EC (mmho/cm)	0.48	0.10	0.36	0.44	0.26	0.36	
Seument	TDS	480	100	360	440	260	360.83	
	Organic matter	69.26	21.72	51.71	69.26	22.27	45.37	

Table 2: Diversity and population turnover of macrobenthic invertebrates in the lakes of Kolayat (Bikaner) and Pushkar (Ajmer) from July, 2012 to June, 2013. Values are averages of three study stations and are expressed as No./m<sup>2</sup>.

		Kolayat Lake, Bikaner					Pushkar Lake, Ajmer				
Faunal Species		Max. Pop.	Min. Pop.	Average	Pop. Turnover Max. Pop./ Min. Pop.	Periodicity of Occurr.	Max. Pop.	Min. Pop.	Averag e	Pop. Turnover Max. Pop./ Min. Pop.	Periodic. of Occurr.
ANI	NELIDA -		~					1	parties 2		
	Aeolosoma hemprichi	80	20	25.00	4.00	07	100	20	40.00	5.00	08
2	Tubifex tubifex	180	40	90.00	4 50	12	220	40	103 33	5.50	12
-	HIRUDINEA	100	10	70.00	1.50	12	220	10	105.55	5.50	12
3	Alboglossiphonia weberi	120	20	40.00	6.00	09	120	20	51.66	6.00	10
4	Hirudinaria globosa	100	20	35.00	5.00	09	100	20	43.33	5.00	10
ARTHROPODA - INSECTA				N		191	11				
	F- DYTISCIDAE				/ /		10 200				
5	Captotomus interrogatus	180	40	58.33	4.50	_06	140	60	60.00	2.33	07
6	Hydaticus fabricii	600	40	216.66	15.00	11-	560	60	246.66	9.33	11
7	Laccophilus anticatus	120	60	51.66	2.00	07	140	60	50.00	2.33	07
	F-HYDRAENIDAE										
8	Hydraena quadricollis	680	120	138.33	5.60	04	800	80	180.00	10.00	06
	F- HYDROPHILIDAE										
9	Berosus indicus	140	40	50.00	3.50	07	160	60	38.33	2.66	04
10	Hydrophilus olivaceous	100	40	36.66	2.50	07	80	40	31.66	2.00	06
11	Tropisternus lateralis	160	60	60.00	2.60	08	240	40	78.33	6.00	10
	F- CORIXIDAE										
12	Corixa lima	80	40	36.66	2.00	07	100	40	33.33	2.50	06
	F- NEPIDAE				-						
13	Laccotrepes maculatus	80	20	33.33	4.00	08	120	40	41.66	3.00	07
14	Nepa cineria	80	40	21.66	2.00	05	80	20	31.66	4.00	08

[IJAPRR International Peer Reviewed Refereed Journal, Vol II, Issue III, p.n. 62-69, 2015 Page 66

	<b>F-NOTONECTIDAE</b>										
15	Notonecta glauca	100	80	15.00	1.25	02	80	40	13.33	2.00	03
	MOLLUSCA-										
	Gastropoda										
16	Bellamya bengalensis	520	240	340.00	2.16	12	540	240	360.00	2.25	12
17	Diagnostoma pulchella	360	200	263.34	1.80	12	340	180	241.67	1.88	12
18	Gabbia orcula	160	80	106.67	2.00	12	240	80	146.67	3.00	12
19	Gyraulus rotula	140	60	66.67	2.30	09	160	40	76.67	4.00	12
20	Indoplanorbis exustus	180	60	103.34	3.00	12	180	80	133.34	2.25	12
21	Lymnaea acuminata	380	180	248.34	2.11	12	660	220	393.34	3.00	12
22*	Thiara tuberculata	00	00	00	00	00	240	80	175.00	3.00	12

\*Recorded only in Pushkar.

Occurrence

Refer Maxim. as Maximum, Minim.- Minimum, Popul.-Population, Periodic. as Periodicity and Occurr. as



#### **IV.CONCLUSION**

This preliminary investigation enabled a comprehensive monthly analysis of the Physicalchemical fluctuations and macrobenthic faunal diversity of the water bodies and the data generated might help in planning better conservation measures and management of these religiously and biologically important perennial . In spite of certain climatic challenges and intermittent availability of species and lesser population density the lake of Kolayat was equally kaleidoscopic to the lake of Pushkar as far as macrobenthic invertebrates are concerned. The diversity index can be used as a measure of water pollution in the lentic ecosystem and when studied in combination with physicochemical parameters, it provides more realistic assessment of the quality of water.

#### VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are thankful to the Principal, Govt. Dungar College, Bikaner and the Head, Department of Zoology, Govt. Dungar College, Bikaner for providing necessary facilities.

#### **VII. REFERENCES**

- 1. APHA-AWWA-WPCF, (1981). Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water. 15th Ed. APHA. Washington DC.
- 2. Borror, Donald J. & Delong, Dwight M. (1957). An introduction to the study of Insects. Constable & Comapany Ltd. London. pp.1030.
- 3. Bowman, C.M.T. (1976). Factors affecting the distribution and abundance of Chironomids in three shroshire meres, with special reference to larval tracheal system. Ph. D. thesis, Univ. Keele, Keele, UK
- 4. Choubisa S. L. (1992). Molluscs as bio-indicators for the trophic stages of lakes and lotic environments. Bull of Pur Appl Sci. 11:35-40.
- 5. Clarke, A.A. (1979). Gastropods as indicators of trophic lake stages. *The Nautilus* 94:138-142
- 6. Curry, L.L. (1962). A survey of environmental requirements for the midge (Diptera, Tendipedidae). *In: Biological Problems in Water Pollution. Transactions of 3rd seminar* (Ed. Tarzwell), USDHEW, PHS, Robert, A. raft Sanitary Engineering Centre, Cincinnati.
- 7. Daglish Eric Fitch. (1952). Name this insect. J.M. Dent & Sons Ltd. London. pp.294
- 8. Edmondson, W.T. (1966). Freshwater Biology. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York, USA.
- 9. Gaufin, A.R. (1957). The use and value of aquatic insects as indicators of organic enrichment. Biological problems in water pollution. *U.S. Public Health Service, Washington D.C.* pp.139-149.
- 10. Harman W.N. (1974). Snails (Mollusca: Gastropoda). In: CW Hart Jr. and S. L. H. Fuller (Eds.), Pollution Ecology of Fresh water Invertebrates (Academic Press, New York.
- Hynes, H.B.N. (1960) *The Biology of Polluted Waters*. Liverpool University Press, Liverpool Edmondson, W.T. (ed.) 1966. *Freshwater Biology*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York, USA.
- 12. Kumar, A., Qureshi, T. A. and Alka, P. (2006). Biodiversity assessment of macroinvertebretes in Ranjit Sagar reservoir, Jammu, J&K, India. J. Aqua. Biol. Vol. 21(2):39-44.
- 13. Mason, C.F. (1981). Biology of freshwater pollution. Longman, London pp.209.
- 14. Mc Cafferty, W. Patrick. (1981). Aquatic Entomology. Jones & Bartleft Publ. Sudbury, Massachusetts. pp. 448.
- 15. Needham, J.G. & Needham, P.R. (1978.) *A guide to the study of fresh-water biology*. Halden Day. Inc. Publ., San Francisco. pp. 105
- Sarang, N. and Sharma, L.L. (2009). Macrobenthic fauna as bioindicator of water quality in Kishore Sagar Lake, Kota (Rajasthan) India. In International Lake Environment Committee (ed.) 13th Conference Wuhan Conference Papers. Wuhan.pp.57-77.

- 17. Sharma, K. K. and Chowdhary, S. (2014). Macrobenthic invertibrate diversity of river Chenab fed stream Ghomanhasan. Jr. of International Academic Research for Multidisciplinary. Vol. 2 (7), pp 371-387.
- 18. Singh, Yogender & Saxena M. M.(2002) Relationship of gastropod population with abiotic features in a desert pond near Bikaner, NW Rajasthan, *Bionotes*,4(4):83-84
- Singh, Y., Rathore, N. S. and Saxena M. M.(2006), Gastropod diversity and it,s population ecology in a desert pond in Bikaner, In. *Aquatic Biodiversity Management and Conservation*, Nature Conservation Publ.,Muzaffarnagar, pp.165-174
- 20. Subba Rao, N.V. (1989). Handbook of freshwater molluscs of India, ZSI, Calcutta. pp. 289
- 21. Tagliapietra, D. and Sigovini M. (2010). Benthic fauna: collection and identification of macrobenthic invertebrates. NEAR Curriculum in Natural Environmental Science, Terre et Environmenent, Vol. 88, pp 253–261.
- 22. Tonapi, G.T. 1980. Fresh water animals of India An ecological approach. Oxford & IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi. p. 341.
- 23. Vazirani, T.G. 1964. Fauna of Rajasthan India. Part. S. Aquatic beetles (Insecta: Coleoptera; Dytiscidae). *Rec. Zool. Surv. India*, 62 (1&2) : 29-49.

24. Webber E.C., Bayne D.R., See Sock W.C. (1989) Macro invertebrate communities in wheeler reservoir (Albama) tributaries after prolonged exposures to DDT Contamination, *Hydrobiology* 183, pp 141 – 155.

